Patient Name
 Centre

 Age/Gender
 OP/IP No/UHID

 MaxID/Lab ID
 Collection Date/Time

 Ref By
 Reporting Date/Time



#### **TEST REQUESTED**

**Max Focus Fusion Panel** 

### **METHOD USED**

**Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)** 

### **CLINICAL INFORMATION/SAMPLE INFORMATION**

As per histopathology impression, features are suggestive of poorly differentiated Squamous cell carcinoma in core biopsy from left posterior pharyngeal wall.

FFPE Block: (Block No.: S-379/24, Tumor Content: ~30-40%)

#### **TARGETED GENES**

HOTSPOT GENES COVERED									
AKT1	ALK	AR	BRAF	CDK4	CTNNB1	DDR2	? EGFR	ERBB2	ERBB3
ERBB4	ESR1	FGFR.	2 FGFR3	GNA11	GNAQ	HRAS	IDH1	IDH2	JAK1
JAK2	JAK3	KIT	KRAS	MAP2K1	MAP2K2	MET	MTOR	NRAS	PDGFRA
РІКЗСА	RAF1	RET	ROS1	SMO					
GENES WITH COPY NUMBER VARIANTS (CNV)									
ALK	AR	BRAF	CCND1	CDK4	CDK6	EGFR	ERBB2	FGFR1	FGFR2
FGFR3	FGFR4	KIT	KRAS	MET	MYC	MYCN	PDGFRA	PIK3CA	
GENES WITH FUSIONS									
ABL1	AKT3	AXL	ALK	BRAF	EGFR	ERBB2	ERG	ETV1	ETV4
ETV5	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	MET	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	PPARG	RAF1
RET	ROS1								

# **PRIMARY FINDINGS**

No Pathogenic variant found in Hotspot genes No Fusions found No CNV found

## **SUMMARY**

- This test did not identify any variant in Hotspot genes covered in the panel.
- This test did not identify any gene with fusions from the genes covered in the panel.
- This test did not identify any genes with CNVs from the genes covered in the panel.
- No other variant that warrants to be reported was detected. Variations with high minor allele frequencies which are benign will be given upon request.

CAI		 T A T	гіст	
>A1	VIP	 ιА	ΓIST	11 >
97 11	• • • •	 		

Coverage	100%
Depth	12,128X

Patient Name	Centre
Age/Gender	OP/IP No/UHID
MaxID/Lab ID	Collection Date/Time
Ref By	Reporting Date/Time



Therapy	Tested Markers	Predicted Response
Erlotinib, Gefitinib	EGFR, ERBB2, KRAS, BRAF	-
Afatinib	EGFR, ERBB2, KRAS, BRAF	
Dacomitinib	EGFR	
Osimertinib	EGFR	
Erlotinib + ramucirumab	EGFR	
Erlotinib + bevacizumab	EGFR	
Osimertinib	EGFR	
Amivantamab-vmjw	EGFR	
Mobocertinib	EGFR, ERBB2	
Sotorasib	KRAS G12C	
Capmatinib	MET Exon 14 Skipping mutation,	
	MET Amplification	
Fam-trastuzumub	ERBB2	
Ado-trastuzumub	ERBB2	
Crizotinib	ALK, ROS1, MET Amplification,	
	MET Exon 14 Skipping mutation	
Tepotinib	MET Amplification, MET Exon 14	
	Skipping mutation	
Entrectinib	ROS,	
Ceritinib	ALK, ROS1	
Alectinib	ALK	
Brigatinib	ALK	
Lorlatinib	ALK, ROS1	
Dabrafinib/trametinib	BRAF	
Dabrafenib	BRAF	
Vemurafenib	BRAF	

PREDICTED RESPONSE OF THERAPY		
Green	Good response	
Red	Contraindicated response	
Orange	Limited response	
Blue	Therapeutic Guidelines not availaible	

Patient Name	Centre
Age/Gender	OP/IP No/UHID
MaxID/Lab ID	Collection Date/Time
Ref By	Reporting Date/Time



### **TEST METHODOLOGY**

### **Background**

Multi gene analysis through next generation sequencing allows the identification of variants to understand their prognostic and therapeutic implications in different cancer types, if any. Targeted application of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology allows detection of specific mutations that can provide treatment opportunities to the patients. This panel with improved primer design and as little as 10 ng of DNA enable researchers to sequence challenging samples such as Formalin fixed, paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue which exhibit variable quality.

### **Method - NGS**

This panel targets 52 genes along with fusions and uses methodologies of Next generation sequencing using Oncomine focus assay. These genes have been selected on the basis of their known impact as actionable targets of existing and emerging anti-cancer therapies, and the prognostic features in specific tumor types.

The sensitivity of the assays depends on the quality of the block, and tumor content. In validation studies the minimum analytic detection limit is 5%. Genomic positions are given in reference to the GRCh37 (hg19) assembly of the human genome.

### **Limitations**

The accuracy and completeness may vary due to variable information available in different databases. The classification of variants of unknown significance can change over time. Synonymous mutations were not considered while preparing this report. The mutations have not been confirmed using Sanger sequencing and/or alternate technologies. To rule out germ line mutations i.e. variant with variant allele frequency at nearly 50% or 100%, whole blood sample is recommended to process along with tissue sample. No other variant that warrants to be pathogenic was detected. Variations with high minor allele frequencies which are benign/likely benign will be given upon request.

Patient Name

Age/Gender

OP/IP No/UHID

MaxID/Lab ID

Ref By

Centre

OP/IP No/UHID

Collection Date/Time

Reporting Date/Time



### **DISCLAIMER**

A Negative result implying non-detection of mutation/deletion indicates a Benign/likely Benign polymorphism. A negative test result may also be due to the inherent technical limitations of the assay. Results obtained should be interpreted with consideration of the overall picture obtained from clinical, laboratory, and pathological findings. Rare polymorphisms may lead to false negative or positive results. False negative results may be due to sampling error/errors in sample handling as well as clonal density below the limit of detection. Misinterpretation of results may occur if the information provided is inaccurate or incomplete. Identification of a mutation in one or more of these genes does not guarantee activity of the drug in a given indication due to the presence of contraindicated mutation in the gene not covered by the panel.

The accuracy and completeness may vary due to variable information available in different databases. Classification of the variant may change overtime. An updated variant classification may be obtained on request. Insertions and deletions greater than 20bp in size may not be detected by this assay. The scope of this assay limits to SNVs, MNVs and short deletions/duplications. Due to poor quality of FFPE DNA, indeterminate result due to low gene coverage or low variant depth cannot be ruled out. The sensitivity of the assays depends on the quality of the block, and tumor content.

The information provided should only be utilized as a guide or aid and the decision to select any therapy option based on the information reported here resides solely with the discretion of the treating physician. Patient care and treatment decisions should only be made by the physician after taking into account all relevant information available including but not limited to the patient's condition, family history, findings upon examination, results of other diagnostic tests, and the current standards of care. This report should only be used as an aid and the physician should employ sound clinical judgment in arriving at any decision for patient care or treatment. Since only a portion of the tumor was tested, it is possible that this result may not represent the entire tumor population.

(DR ATUL THATAI) Director Molecular & Cytogenomics

(DR NITIN DAYAL)
Prin. Cons. and Head
Hematopathology

(DR PRIYANKA AGARWAL) Sr. Manager Genomics & Molecular